

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,395		02/25/2002	Claude Andre	408.106A	6873
20311	7590	05/12/2006		EXAMINER	
LUCAS & MERCANTI, LLP				WARE, DEBORAH K	
475 PARK A		SOUTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK	K, NY 10	0016	1651		

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Annila - Alan Na	[A
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/084,395 Examiner	ANDRE ET AL. Art Unit
,	Deborah K. Ware	1651
All Participants:	Status of Application:	1001
•	-	 .
(1) <u>Deborah K. Ware</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Larry Manber</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 May 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Not discussed per se		
Claims discussed:		
Proposed claimed subject matter		
Prior art documents discussed: Not discussed per se		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GR See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		·
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separatirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. 	. The examiner will provide a writte attempt at the substance of the	n summary of the substance interview. since the interview
·	•	
Delinh K. Ware		
GEBORAHK: WARE PATENT EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Informed Applicants' Representative that the after final amendment filed 5/2/06 has been received and that the claims as proposed will be reconsidered on the merits and an updated search will be conducted to determine whether the claim language as newly presented is free of the prior art, and in accordance with the prior official discussion with the Representative, myself and the Primary, allowable subject matter may be presented after final. However, more searching is needed to determine whether the claims as presented are free of the cited prior art. Applicants' Representative did point out that the claims have been changed or simplified so that they do not read on an aqueous form and that they did not include the term dry or dried because there is some humidity present with the described disclosure.